

SALT LAKE DAILY HERALD

FRIDAY MORNING, FEB. 6, 1880.

THE DAILY HERALD is published every morning, except on Sundays, at the office of the publisher, at the corner of Third and Main streets, Salt Lake City, by the HERALD PRINTING AND PUBLISHING COMPANY. Subscription price \$10.00 per annum, in advance; single copies 10 cents. The paper is sold by carriers, twenty-five cents a week.

MUNICIPAL ELECTION.

Monday, Feb. 9th, 1880.

PEOPLE'S TICKET.

MAYOR.

FERAMORE LITTLE.

ALDERMEN.

First Municipal Ward, E. F. SHEETS.

Second Municipal Ward, HENRY DINWOODIE.

Third Municipal Ward, A. H. RALEIGH.

Fourth Municipal Ward, DAVID O. CALDER.

Fifth Municipal Ward, ALEX. C. PYPER.

COUNCILORS.

JOSEPH BOOTH, JACOB WELLS, JOHN CLARK.

THOS. E. TAYLOR, HARRISON SPERRY, JOSEPH F. SMITH.

JOHN HENRY SMITH, O. F. WHITNEY, FRANCIS ARMSTRONG.

RECORDERS.

JOHN T. CAINE.

TREASURER.

PAUL A. SCHETLER.

MARSHAL.

ANDREW BURT.

ASSESSOR AND COLLECTOR.

JOHN R. WINDER.

SOME of the newspapers have been very

savage in criticizing Governor Blackburn

because he pardoned so many criminals.

The governor defended himself by saying the penitentiary

was overcrowded, and it was inhuman to treat men the way they

were necessarily treated there. A committee of the legislature has been

investigating the penitentiary, and the substance of its report will be found in

our telegrams this morning. From this it appears that Governor Blackburn

was justified in turning out the younger convicts. If he had half

emptied the institution he should have been praised rather than censured.

GRANT OR BLAINE.

The action of the Harrisburg convention

in instructing the delegates to the Chicago convention to vote

solidly for Grant, for the presidential nominee, settles three things that

have heretofore been in doubt—namely, that Grant is a candidate for

a third term, that Don Cameron is bigger in Pennsylvania politics than

any other man, and that Grant or Blaine will receive the republican

nomination for the presidency. Cameron's intimate relations with

the ex-president put the former in a position to speak authoritatively as to

Grant's wishes in the matter. The assembling of old Simon would not

have committed his party to the blunder of supporting a man who was

not available; nor is the movement designed as a compliment merely to

the ex-president. Cameron is in earnest and means business.

But it was made apparent in the convention that Blaine, rather than

Grant, is the first choice of Pennsylvania republicans, and the first

choice as well of the delegates themselves. The personal power and influence

of the senator are what brought about the small majority for Grant.

It may be regarded as fortunate for republicans that the party

in one state cannot control the organization in another. Though Cameron has

committed Pennsylvania republicans to the support of the third term proposition, he cannot commit the party

elsewhere to that idea. There is no doubt that Grant, as a man, is the

choice of the party leaders generally, and of a large majority of republicans,

but we question if they dare take him for their standard-bearer. The opposition

to a third term—not to the man—is widespread, and would be

sure to manifest itself in a large demonstration from the party ranks. It is

just possible that New York will follow the example of its neighbor,

and also instruct for Grant. In New York the one-man power is as

great as in Pennsylvania, and that power is known to be in favor of the

ex-president; hence it need not be surprising to see Conkling doing in

his state as Cameron has done in his. But there the Grant instructions

must stop, and the General will go into the convention with less real

strength than if nothing had been said and his following was not openly

known. Other delegations will fear him, and go prepared to fight him,

for the reason that they will not believe the party can carry the third-term scheme through to success.

This is how it looks to us.

Blaine, to-day, stands a better chance for the nomination than

any other man. It is evident that he is the choice of Pennsylvania, and

when the delegation discovers that Grant cannot go through, the vote

will be solid for the Maine senator. The latter has New England at his

command, stands first in the list with several of the western states, and

will capture the entire Grant vote—excepting from New York—when the

general returns.

The contest at present is altogether

between these two stalwarts, Conkling, Sherman, Washburn and others

have little booms of their own, but the big booms are Grant's and Blaine's,

and that of the latter threatens to drown the other.

THE BATTLE OF THE BENS.

Their Geographical Relations.

SALT LAKE CITY, Feb. 5, 1880.

Editors Herald:

In connection with the feminine

disabilities contest, remarks have

been made of a character not in

agreement with my assertion that

Ben Macdoui is a taller gentleman

than Ben Nevil. I spoke on the

authority of the ordinance survey,

though it is probably not infallible.

By the by, I thought I had done

with that contest for the present, as

the modified bill was killed in the

House by a majority of one, notwithstanding that a similar bill passed

both houses last session. But perhaps

you will kindly allow me the indulgence

of a parting shot with Ben

Tucker, although I feel somewhat

ashamed of noticing such a character

at all.

In the Herald of the 4th he replies

to my letter in the Herald of the 3rd,

but he evidently has not much to

say, and therefore I need not say

much about it. I may, however, say

a little. His quotation from Isaiah

goes to prove that my assertion was

true that there was no such passage

in the Bible as he quoted in the

Herald of the 27th, "Woe unto you

when children are your oppressors

and women rule over you." Ben

Tucker quotes further from Isaiah

if anxious to prove that instead of

women obtaining further rights and

privileges, they should be curtailed in

some which they now enjoy. Thus,

they ask eligibility to office, there-

fore, they ought to lose the suffrage.

This may be logically inferred from

Ben's way of talking. Isaiah talks of

certain women, none of the best,

being deprived of their apparel and

ornaments. Ben Tucker would evi-

dently delight to see them in that

primitive and forlorn condition. He

seems to revel in the idea. But if he

feels disposed to depose the women of

Utah of their poll taxes and their

"rings and things and finery," he,

analogously, may be supposed to feel

disposed to depose the men of Utah

of their sheep and cattle on a thou-

sand hills, but, if so, I do not envy

him his self-as labor, nor have I any

sympathy with him in it. He will

be likely to find the business ex-

ceedingly discreditable and dangerous,

and himself a fugitive and a vagabond

in the earth, and I should leave

him to the law and the testimony of

the outraged community.

Ben Tucker thinks I am young and

inexperienced. Well, I am not so

old and experienced as I may be yet,

nor so young and inexperienced as I

once was. I believe I am old enough

to know a thing or two. But pos-

sibly Ben may have had some ex-

perience that I have not had, nor desire

to have. I am inclined to think he

has, and that may have made him

somewhat misanthropical. Perhaps

I have had some experience that he

has not had, nor desire to have. I

would like to have. I have had

some experience in the matters of

wives and sons and daughters and

grandchildren and brothers and

sisters and cousins and aunts and

lots of other people. Has Ben Tucker

had experience in all such people?

He may have been blessed with a

mother-in-law, but I presume not, at

most not more than one, and I do

not know that he deserves one, and

good one. If he has not had one of

any sort then what does he know of

the blessings of the "absolute despot-

ism" he talks about? And if he has

not had wife nor children, when can

he know about women, their abilities

and disabilities? He talks as if he did

not know much about them, which

leads me to think there may be more

than one Ben Tucker, which I sin-

cerely hope. One of the things that

is sufficient. I have wondered what

right he had to take up this question

at all. It is just like his impudence.

But the presumption of some men is

immense. You may think I am

after begging this part of the ques-

tion. Perhaps I am. But again,

perhaps I am not.

Ben Tucker appears to think peo-

ple have been more oppressed and

degraded under women rulers than

under men rulers. I do not read

history. Women are generally con-

ceded to be more moral than men.

Women in high position have done

some noble things in their time.

Deborah, under immediate divine

inspiration, led and delivered Israel.

Boadicea, widowed queen of the

Isles, having with her daughters,

been treacherously and barbarously

treated by her brutal Roman con-

querors, led her people against them

with heroic spirit and signal success

in several contests, having 263,000

men under her command, and de-

stroying 70,000 of her enemies,

though eventually being defeated, for

the Romans were the conquerors of

the world. The story of Aroa led France

and accomplished much deliverance

for it. Victoria has occupied the

most exalted position in one of the

foremost nations of the world, occu-

pied it with credit for nearly half a

century. Have the English people

been more oppressed under her

reign than the American people were

under the reign of her royal kinsman

George III? Have British subjects

been more oppressed and de-

graded under her rule than they

were under the rule of her royal

kinsman, George IV? "The first gen-

tleman in Europe?" Has not her reign

been as peaceful, progressive and pro-

sperous as the average of the reigns

of kings of that country, long or short?

These will suffice for instances.

Having now got altogether, and

safely away from that detestable Ben

Tucker, thank heaven, I turn with a

feeling of relief to the other Bens.

Ben Lomond, with his usual good

luck, happened to be first in that con-

test in your column, though he

does not pretend to be the biggest in

it. Nevertheless, he is a fine fellow,

of noble proportions and pleasing

countenance, with impressive features

and imposing presence. He is a very

popular gentleman, though I say it,

who perhaps ought not to say it.

Though not the tallest of his kind, he

is of the respectable height of 5,197

feet, and consequently must not be

only, like Texas Fitching, "a big

man than old Grant," but also of

much greater size than that of the

celebrated and gigantic Irishman,

whose "arm was as thick as another

man's thigh."

Mrs. Loch Lomond is a highly at-

tractive lady, said to be the finest in

the country her country. In fact she

enjoys the reputation of being the

queen of her kind in that country,

and I believe the reputation is well

deserved. Ben Lomond, who says

with her in stirringspire, is a

most fortunate fellow in being the

consort of such an attractive lady.

The celebrated Dr. Chalmers was so

smitten with Mrs. Loch Lomond,

so completely fascinated with her

charm, that he despatched a

"wonder if there will be a Loch

Lomond in heaven." Of course

there will, or how will Scotchmen be

satisfied there? If Mrs. Loch

Lomond should find herself in

heaven, how would the be contented

there without her Ben constantly by

her side, to comfort and protect her,

as on earth?

Mrs. Loch Lomond has a deli-

cious neighbor at some half dozen

miles distance, known as Mrs. Loch

Katherine, a very petite lady in com-

parison with Mrs. Loch Lomond. There

is not a neater and nicer little lady

anywhere than Mrs. Loch Katherine.

They say she is one of the purest as

well as one of the prettiest of all the

Ladies Lochs, and I fairly believe she

is. Ben Loch Katherine is a most im-

mediate watch and ward over her on

the south, and Ben An on the north,

while Ben Lodi is never far away,

and there are several other brother

Bens around. But they do tell me

that Ben Lomond loves Katherine, as

a sister, and with very good cause, for,

in my humble opinion, though the

northwestern part of her might dis-

appoint at first sight, there is nothing

more lovely on earth than the south-

eastern part of her, where she divides

the Trossachs here very constant care

of her, and very pleasant neighbors

they are, I do assure you, having had

the honor of a brief acquaintance

with them and Mrs. Loch Katherine,

as well as Mrs. Loch Lomond, during

which I was greatly charmed with

all their company.

But about Ben Nevil. Well, he is

an aspiring gentleman, having his

habitat in Invernesshire. He is

deeply delighted to be proud